20 October 2026

RE: JAK Written Public Comment for 22 October 2026 PDC Meeting

Dear PDC;

Joe A. Kunzler here writing you ahead of your 22 October 2026 Public Disclosure Commission (PDC) meeting. First, I want to complain that there is no November meeting scheduled, considering the significant enforcement case backlog on the books and to come. The PDC needs to be meeting in November to work on resolving cases on the books, most notably case #189082, which has direct bearing on media access to the 2027 Washington State Legislature. With that, I am writing you about issues on the PDC Commission agenda.

First, I am relieved and grateful that staff are *finally* coming to you about enforcement options. I hope you will share my conclusion after that memo that you need new tools. You just do. As I've shared before, It's time to start asking for the power to place in the criminal justice system repeat respondents who refuse to participate in good faith with the PDC – aka ACT IN CONTEMPT. I would also make clear as I would start with Respondents Avrum (Alex) Tsimerman and John P. Gibbons, that a successful insanity defense means losing the franchise/right to vote. I'm confident that would take Tsimerman out of the PDC system and off of the ballot *permanently*. If we have to use Gibbons to get to Tsimerman, get to Tsimerman.

I've shared this sentiment before but It's due time for some aggressiveness out of the Attorney General's Office (AGO) also – Assistant AG Suzie Giles-Klein notwithstanding. Maybe the AGO, instead of perpetually suing the Trump Administration and perpetuating an at best absurd lawsuit against the US Navy using OLF Coupeville for EA-18G Growler Field Carrier Landing Practice on Whidbey Island, have the AGO *please* sue the worst PDC Respondents as mentioned in the memo. *Set priorities*. But that's my opinion. That and $7 will get you a decent coffee + cover the tax at Starbucks to discuss with your Assistant Attorneys General.

Moving forward, your legislative agenda is so underwhelming in the context of this. You should be demanding be in the next biennium budget, “The appointment of a Special Assistant Attorney General (SAAG) that specializes in collections/debt law.” This has to be a priority as much as the reporting schedule, please.

As to the rulemaking, I’m grateful the PDC staff is finally truly recognizing new rulemaking is necessary on so many issues. It’s past time for a, “Detailed description of itemized expenditures, including specific elements for reporting political advertising, broken down by media type and including digital ads.” It’s also necessary in the wake of big money initiative campaigns and Project 42-inspired complaints for, “A description of expenditure activity reported as an in-kind contribution by the receiving committee.” We just need to know who these people are who want to influence our elections.

On that note, to conclude, I quote Heather “Newsbrooke” Brooke, Ph.D. from my Beehiv interview with her last Sunday:

> It is a strong part of democratic health to know what interests are coming to bear on our legislators, eg: Who is funding and lobbying them. Are they getting wined and dined or taken to lots of fancy entertainments by certain people or companies? The people certainly have a right to know that!

This is why you exist, PDC. I hope you please keep this in mind.

Very respectfully submitted;



Joe A. Kunzler
growlernoise@gmail.com